Commissioner for Patent United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1456 Alexandria, VA 22313-145

VOLENTINE FRANCOS, & WHITT PLLC ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON VA 20190

COPY MAILED

JAN 1 6 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Shinji Ohuchi et al.

Application No. 10/657,139

Filed: September 9, 2003

Attorney Docket No. KKH.039D2

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹, filed June 29, 2006 to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

This application became abandoned January 31, 2006 for failure to timely file a a response to the Final Office Action mailed October 28, 2005. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment was mailed May 16, 2006.

Petitioner has submitted a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 2814 for a processing of the RCE.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).